arXiv:1511.03527v1 [math.CV] 11 Nov 2015

CLASSIFICATION OF HOLOMORPHIC FOLIATIONS OF ARBITRARY CODIMENSION ON HOPF MANIFOLDS

ANTONIO MARCOS FERREIRA DA SILVA

Abstract. We classify nonsingular holomorphic foliations of arbitrary codimension on certain Hopf manifolds. We prove that all holomorphic distribution of codimension k on a generic Hopf manifold is induced by a mononial holomorphic $k-$form.

1. Introduction

Let $W = \mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$, $n \geq 2$, and $f(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n) = (\mu_1 z_1, \mu_2 z_2, ..., \mu_n z_n)$ be a diagonal contraction in $\mathbb{C}^n$, where $0 < |\mu_i| < 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. The quotient space $X = W/ < f >$ is a compact, complex manifold of dimension n called of Hopf manifold. When $\mu_1 = \cdots = \mu_n$ we say that X is a classical Hopf manifold. Classical Hopf manifolds were first studied by Heinz Hopf [6] in 1948. Hopf showed that X is difeomorphic to the product of odd spheres $S^1 \times S^{2n-1}$ and has a complex structure which is not Kälher. K. Kodaira[9] classified all Hopf surfaces, but the problem of classification of general Hopf manifolds it is an open problem. The geometry and topology of Hopf manifolds have been studied by several authors, see for instance, Dabrowski [2], Haefliger [5], Ise [7], Wehler[11] etc.

We are interested on holomorphic foliations on Hopf manifolds. D. Mall in [10] using the Kodaira's classification of Hopf surfaces obtained the classification of nonsingular holomorphic foliations on Hopf surfaces. E. Ghys studied holomorphic foliations on homogeneous spaces, and as consequence obtained the classification of codimension one foliation on classical Hopf manifolds. In [1] and in the author's Ph.D thesis [3] with the advisors A. Fernández-Pérez and M. Corrêa JR we classified holomorphic foliation of dimension and codimension one on certain Hopf manifolds. In this paper we want to complete the classification started in [1] and [3], therefore we are interested on holomorphic foliations of codimension k where $1 < k < n-1$. We will consider the following types of Hopf manifolds

Definition 1.1. *We say that*

(1) X *is* ***classical*** *if* $\mu = \mu_1 = \ldots = \mu_n$.
(2) X *is* ***generic*** *if* $0 < |\mu_1| \leq |\mu_2| \leq \ldots \leq |\mu_n| < 1$ *and there not exists non-trivial relation between the* μ_i*'s in this way*

$$\prod_{i \in A} \mu_i^{r_i} = \prod_{j \in B} \mu_j^{r_j}, \quad r_i, r_j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad A \cap B = \emptyset, \quad A \cup B = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32S65, 37F75, 32M25.
Key words and phrases. Holomorphic foliations, Hopf manifolds.

(3) X *is* ***intermediary*** *if* $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \ldots = \mu_r$, *where* $2 \leq r \leq n-1$ *and there not exists non-trivial relation between the* μ_i*'s in this way*

$$\prod_{i\in A} \mu_i^{r_i} = \prod_{j\in B} \mu_j^{r_j}, \quad r_i, r_j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad A \cap B = \emptyset, \quad A \cup B = \{1, r+1, \ldots, n\}.$$

A line bundle L on X is the quotient of $W\times\mathbb{C}$ by the operation of a representation of the fundamental group of X, $\varrho_L : \pi_1(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow GL(1,\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^*$ in the following way

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W \times \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & W \times \mathbb{C} \\ (z, v) & \longmapsto & (f(z), \varrho_L(1)v) \end{array}$$

We write $L = L_b$ for the bundle induced by the representation $\varrho_L(\gamma)$ with $b = \varrho_L(1)$. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let* X *be a Hopf manifold,* $\dim X \geq 3$*, and let* $\mathcal{F}$ *be a nonsingular holomorphic distribution on* X *of codimension* k *(*$1 < k < n-1$*) given by a nonzero twisted differential* k*-form* $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^k \otimes L_b)$ *with coefficients in the line bundle* $L_b = \mathcal{N} := \det(N_{\mathcal{F}})$.

Then the following holds:

(i) *If* X *is classical, then* $b = \mu^m$ *with* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $m \geq k$*. Furthermore* $\mathcal{F}$ *is induced by a polynomial* k*-form*

$$\omega = \sum_{i_1<\cdots<i_k} g_{i_1\ldots i_k} dz_{i_1,\ldots,i_k},$$

where $dz_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}$ *denotes* $dz_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{i_k}$ *and* $g_{i_1\ldots i_k}$ *are homogeneous polynomial of the same degree* $m-k$*, such that* $\cap_{i_1<\cdots<i_k}\{g_{i_1\ldots i_k} = 0\} = \{0\}$.

(ii) *If* X *is generic, then* $b = \mu_{i_1} \ldots \mu_{i_k}$ *for some* $i_1 < \cdots < i_k$*, and* $\mathcal{F}$ *is induced by the* k*-form* $\omega = dz_{i_1\ldots,i_k}$.

(iii) *If* X *is intermediary then* $b = \mu^m \mu_{i_1} \ldots \mu_{i_h}$ *where* $h \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq h \leq k$*, and* $m + h = k$ *or* $m + h = k + 1$.
If $m + h = k$ *then the foliation is induced by a holomorphic* $k-$*form of the type*

$$\omega = \sum_{j_1<\cdots<j_{k-h}\leq r<i_1<\cdots<i_h} c^{j_1\ldots j_{k-h}} dz_{j_1,\ldots,j_{k-h},i_1,\ldots,i_h}$$

If $m + h = k + 1$ *then distribution is induced by a linear holomorphic* $k-$*form.*

When X is a generic Hopf manifold, we have the following result:

Theorem 1.3. *All holomorphic distribution of codimension* k *(possibly singular) on a generic Hopf manifold of dimension at least three are induced by a monomial* k*-form.*

2. Holomorphic foliations

Let X be a complex manifold. A (nonsingular) *foliation* $\mathcal{F}$, of dimension k, on X is a subvector bundle $T\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow T_X$, of generic rank k, such that $[T\mathcal{F}, T\mathcal{F}] \subset T\mathcal{F}$.

There is a dual point of view where $\mathcal{F}$ is determined by a subvector bundle $N^*_{\mathcal{F}}$, of rank $n-k$, of the cotangent bundle $\Omega^1_X = T^*X$ of X. The vector bundle $N^*_{\mathcal{F}}$ is called *conormal vector bundle* of $\mathcal{F}$. The involutiveness condition is replace by: if d stands for the exterior derivative then $dN^*_{\mathcal{F}} \subset N^*_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \Omega^1_X$ at the level of local

sections. The normal bundle $N_{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is defined as the dual of $N^*_{\mathcal{F}}$. We have the following exact sequence

$$0 \to T\mathcal{F} \to TX \to N_{\mathcal{F}} \to 0\,.$$

The $(n-k)$-th wedge product of the inclusion $N^*_{\mathcal{F}} \hookrightarrow \Omega^1_X$ gives rise to a nonzero twisted differential $(n-k)$-form $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega^{n-k}_X \otimes \mathcal{N})$ with coefficients in the line bundle $\mathcal{N} := \det(N_{\mathcal{F}})$, which is *locally decomposable* and *integrable*. By construction the tangent bundle of a Hopf manifold X is given by

$$TX = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} L_{\alpha_i},$$

where L_{α_i} is the tangent bundle of the foliation induced by the canonical vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$.

3. Cohomology of line bundles on Hopf manifolds

Let Ω^p_X be the sheaf of germs of holomorphic p-forms on a Hopf manifold X. Denote by $\Omega^p_X(L_b) := \Omega^p_X \otimes L_b$ and by $\pi : W \to X$ the natural projection on X. Consider an open covering $\{U_i\}$ of X such that all sets open U_i are Stein, simply-connected and $\tilde{U}_i := \pi^{-1}(U_i)$ is a disjoint union of Stein open sets on W. Since π is surjective, we have $A = \{\tilde{U}_i\}$ is open covering of W, therefore, the definition of X implies that

$$\tilde{U}_i = \cup_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} f^r(U_i).$$

Let $\varphi \in \Gamma(U_i, \Omega^p_X(L_b))$. Then $\tilde{\varphi} = \pi^*(\varphi)$ belongs to $\Gamma(\tilde{U}_i, \pi^*(\Omega^p_X(L_b))) \cong \Gamma(\tilde{U}_i, \Omega^p_W)$. Therefore we have an exact sequence of Cěch complexes

$$0 \to \mathcal{C}^\cdot(A, \Omega^p_X(L_b)) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \mathcal{C}^\cdot(A, \Omega^p_W) \xrightarrow{bId - f^*} \mathcal{C}^\cdot(A, \Omega^p_W) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{1}$$

From (1) we derive the long exact sequence of cohomology

$$0 \to H^0(X, \Omega^p_X(L_b)) \to H^0(W, \Omega^p_W) \xrightarrow{p_0} H^0(W, \Omega^p_W) \to H^1(X, \Omega^p_X(L_b)) \to \cdots$$

where $p_0 = b \cdot Id - f^* : H^0(W, \Omega^p_W) \to H^0(W, \Omega^p_W)$ and $W = \mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$. D. Mall proved in [8] the following result.

Theorem 3.1 (Mall [8])**.** *If X is a Hopf manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ and L_b is a line bundle on X. Then*

$$dim H^0(X, \Omega^p_X(L_b)) = dim\, Ker(p_0)$$

To prove the Theorem 1.2 we need proved the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a classical, generic or intermediary Hopf manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$, and L_b be a line bundle on X, with $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$. The following holds:*

(i) *If X is classical then* $\dim H^0(X, \Omega^k_X \otimes L_b) > 0$ *if, and only if, $b = \mu^m$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq k$.*

(ii) *If X is generic then* $\dim H^0(X, \Omega^k_X \otimes L_b) > 0$ *if, and only if,*

$$b = \mu_1^{m_1}\mu_2^{m_2}\ldots\mu_n^{m_n}$$

where $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and there exists $j_1, \ldots, j_k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, such that $m_{j_0}, \ldots, m_{j_k} \geq 1$.

(iii) *If X is intermediary then* $\dim H^0(X,\Omega^k_X\otimes L_b)>0$ *if, and only if,*

$$b=\mu_1^{m_1}\mu_2^{m_2}\dots\mu_n^{m_n}$$

with $\mu_j\in\mathbb{N}$ for all $j=1,\dots,n$, and:

0) $m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_r=0$, *and there exists* $i_1,\dots,i_k\geq r+1$ *such that* $m_{i_1}\geq 1,\dots,m_{i_k}\geq 1$, *or*
1) $m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_r=1$, *and there exists* $i_1,\dots,i_{k-1}\geq r+1$ *such that* $m_{i_1}\geq 1,\dots,m_{i_{k-1}}\geq 1$, *or*
.
.
.
k-1) $m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_r=k-1$, *and there exists* $i_1\geq r+1$ *such that* $m_{i_1}\geq 1$, *or*
k) $m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_r\geq k$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 we have $\dim H^0(X,\Omega^k_X\otimes L_b)=\dim(ker\, p_0)$, where

$$p_0: H^0(W,\Omega^k_W)\longrightarrow H^0(W,\Omega^k_W),\quad p_0=bId-f^*\quad\text{and}\quad W=\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}.$$

Let $\omega\in H^0(W,\Omega^k_W)$, then $\omega=\sum\limits_{i_1<\cdots<i_k} g_{i_1\dots i_k}dz_{i_1,\dots,i_k}$. It follows from Hartogs extension theorem that each $g_{i_1\dots i_k}$ can be represented by its Taylor series

$$g_{i_1\dots i_k}(z_1,z_2,\dots,z_n)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} c_\alpha^{i_1\dots i_k}z_1^{\alpha_1}z_2^{\alpha_2}\dots z_n^{\alpha_n},\text{ for all } i=1,\dots,n.$$

Hence

$$p_0(\omega)=\sum_{i_1<\cdots<i_k}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} c_\alpha^{i_1\dots i_k}(b-\mu_1^{\alpha_1}\dots\mu_n^{\alpha_n}\mu_{i_1}\dots\mu_{i_k})z_1^{\alpha_1}\dots z_n^{\alpha_n}dz_{i_1,\dots,i_k}. \tag{2}$$

In the classical case, $\mu_1=\cdots=\mu_n=\mu$ and

$$p_0(\omega)=\sum_{i_1<\cdots<i_k}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} c_\alpha^{i_1\dots i_k}(b-\mu^{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_k+k})z_1^{\alpha_1}\dots z_n^{\alpha_n}dz_{i_1,\dots,i_k}.$$

thus $\dim(ker\, p_0)>0$ if, and only if, $b=\mu^m$, for some $m\in\mathbb{N}$, $m\geq k$.

In the generic case, since $\mu_i's$ have no relations, it follows from (2) that $\dim(ker\, p_0)>0$ if, and only if, $b=\mu_1^{m_1}\mu_2^{m_2}\dots\mu_n^{m_n}$ where $\mu_j\in\mathbb{N}$, and there exists $j_1,\dots,j_k\in\{1,\dots,n\}$, such that $m_{j_1},\dots,m_{j_k}\geq 1$.

Finally, for the intermediary case, we have $\mu_1=\cdots=\mu_r=\mu$, so that

$$p_0(\omega)=\sum_{i_1<\cdots<i_k}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} c_\alpha^{i_1\dots i_k}(b-\mu^{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_k}\mu_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}}\dots\mu_n^{\alpha_n}\mu_{i_1}\dots\mu_{i_k})z_1^{\alpha_1}\dots z_n^{\alpha_n}dz_{i_1,\dots,i_k}.$$

Since $\mu,\mu_{r+1},\dots,\mu_n$ have no relations, we have $\dim(ker\, p_0)>0$ if, and only if, $b=\mu^m\mu_{r+1}^{m_{r+1}}\dots\mu_n^{m_n}$ such that $\mu_j\in\mathbb{N}$ for all $j=1,\dots,n$, and:

0) $m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_r=0$, and there exists $i_1,\dots,i_k\geq r+1$ such that $m_{i_1}\geq 1,\dots,m_{i_k}\geq 1$, or
1) $m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_r=1$, and there exists $i_1,\dots,i_{k-1}\geq r+1$ such that $m_{i_1}\geq 1,\dots,m_{i_{k-1}}\geq 1$, or
.
.
.
k-1) $m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_r=k-1$, and there exists $i_1\geq r+1$ such that $m_{i_1}\geq 1$, or

k) $m_1 + m_2 + \cdots + m_r \geq k$.

□

3.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.**

Proof. By construction, we have that a holomorphic section $s \in H^0(X, \Omega^k_X \otimes L_b)$ corresponds to a section $\tilde{s} \in H^0(W, \mathcal{O}_W^{\binom{n}{k}})$, say $\tilde{s} = (g_{i_1\dots i_k})_{i_1<\cdots<i_k}$, such that $g_{i_1\dots i_k} \in \mathcal{O}_W$ satisfies

$$g_{i_1\dots i_k}(\mu_1 z_1, \dots, \mu_n z_n) = \mu_{i_1}^{-1} \dots \mu_{i_k}^{-1} b g_{i_1\dots i_k}(z_1, \dots, z_n),$$

for all $i_1 < \cdots < i_k$. By Hartog's extension theorem, $\tilde{s}$ can be represented by its Taylor series

$$g_{i_1\dots i_k}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} c_\alpha^{i_1\dots i_k} z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n}, \text{ where } \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \ .$$

Then

$$c_\alpha^{i_1\dots i_k} \mu_1^{\alpha_1} \mu_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \mu_n^{\alpha_n} = c_\alpha^{i_1\dots i_k} \mu_{i_1}^{-1} \dots \mu_{i_k}^{-1} b, \tag{3}$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

Classical case. In this case $\mu_1 = \cdots = \mu_n = \mu$. Lemma 3.2 part (i) implies that $b = \mu^m$ for some $m \geq k$. Therefore

$$c_\alpha^{i_1\dots i_k} \mu^{|\alpha|} = c_\alpha^{i_1\dots i_k} \mu^{-k} \mu^m \text{ where } |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n.$$

Hence, if $c_\alpha^{i_1\dots i_k} \neq 0$ then $|\alpha| = m - k$. It follows that each $g_{i_1\dots i_k}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $m - k$.

Generic case. If X is generic, then by Lemma 3.2 part (ii) we have

$$b = \mu_1^{m_1} \mu_2^{m_2} \dots \mu_n^{m_n}$$

where $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and there exists $j_1, \dots, j_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, such that $m_{j_0}, \dots, m_{j_k} \geq 1$. Then from (3) we get

$$c_\alpha^{i_1\dots i_k} \mu_1^{\alpha_1} \mu_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \mu_n^{\alpha_n} = c_\alpha^{i_1\dots i_k} \mu_{i_1}^{-1} \dots \mu_{i_k}^{-1} \mu_1^{m_1} \mu_2^{m_2} \dots \mu_n^{m_n}$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

Hence for each $i_1 < \cdots < i_k$ we have

$$g_{i_1\dots i_k}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = c_{\alpha_0}^{i_1\dots i_k} z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \dots z_n^{m_n} z_{i_1}^{-1} \dots z_{i_k}^{-1}. \tag{4}$$

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is nonsingular, we get that $m_{i_1} = \dots m_{i_k} = 1$ for some $i_1 < \cdots < i_k$ and $m_i = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_1 \dots i_k\}$.

So that we have $b = \mu_{i_1} \dots \mu_{i_k}$, $g_{i_1\dots i_k}$ is a constant and $g_{j_1\dots j_k} = 0$ for all $j_1 < \cdots < j_k \neq i_1 < \cdots < i_k$.

Intermediary case. In this case $\mu_1 = \cdots = \mu_r$, then by Lemma 3.2 part (iii) we have

$$b = \mu^m \mu_{r+1}^{m_{r+1}} \dots \mu_n^{m_n}$$

Then from (3) we get

$$c_\alpha^{i_1\dots i_k} \mu^{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_r} \mu_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}} \dots \mu_n^{\alpha_n} = c_\alpha^{i_1\dots i_k} \mu_{i_1}^{-1} \dots \mu_{i_k}^{-1} \mu^m \mu_{r+1}^{m_{r+1}} \dots \mu_n^{m_n}$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

Hence, if $c_\alpha^{i_1 \cdots i_k} \neq 0$ then

$$\mu^{\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r} \mu_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}} \ldots \mu_n^{\alpha_n} = \mu_{i_1}^{-1} \ldots \mu_{i_k}^{-1} \mu^m \mu_{r+1}^{m_{r+1}} \ldots \mu_n^{m_n}$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

If $i_1, \ldots, i_k \leq r$, we have $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k = m - k$, $\alpha_j = m_j$ for $j \geq r+1$ and

$g_{i_1 \ldots i_k} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} c_\alpha^{i_1 \ldots i_k} z_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots z_r^{\alpha_r} z_{r+1}^{m_{r+1}} \ldots z_n^{m_n}$ with $|\alpha| = m - k$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r)$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r$.

If $i_1, \ldots, i_{k-1} \leq r$ and $i_k \geq r+1$ we have

$g_{i_1 \ldots i_k} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} c_\alpha^{i_1 \ldots i_k} z_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots z_r^{\alpha_r} z_{r+1}^{m_{r+1}} \ldots z_n^{m_n} z_{i_k}^{-1}$ with $|\alpha| = m - k + 1$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r)$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r$.

.
.
.

If $i_1, \ldots, i_s \leq r$ and $i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_k \geq r+1$ we have

$g_{i_1 \ldots i_k} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} c_\alpha^{i_1 \ldots i_k} z_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots z_r^{\alpha_r} z_{r+1}^{m_{r+1}} \ldots z_n^{m_n} z_{i_{s+1}}^{-1} \ldots z_{i_k}^{-1}$ with $|\alpha| = m - s$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r)$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r$.

.
.
.

If $i_1, \ldots, i_k \geq r+1$ we have

$$g_{i_1 \ldots i_k} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} c_\alpha^{i_1 \ldots i_k} z_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots z_r^{\alpha_r} z_{r+1}^{m_{r+1}} \ldots z_n^{m_n} z_{i_1}^{-1} \ldots z_{i_k}^{-1}$$

with $|\alpha| = m$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r)$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r$. We will divide the proof of intermediary case in four subcases:

1) $m = 0$

In this case, $g_{i_1 \ldots i_k} = 0$ if some $i_j \leq r$, and if $i_1, \ldots, i_k \geq r+1$ we have

$$g_{i_1 \ldots i_k} = c^{i_1 \ldots i_k} z_{r+1}^{m_{r+1}} \ldots z_n^{m_n} z_{i_1}^{-1} \ldots z_{i_k}^{-1}$$

where $c^{i_1 \cdots i_k} \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant. Note that $k \leq n - r$.

Since, $\mathcal{F}$ is nonsingular, we get that $m_{j_1} = \cdots = m_{j_k} = 1$ for some $r < j_1 < \cdots < j_k \leq n$, and $m_i = 0$ if $i \neq j_l$ for all $l = 1, \ldots, k$. Thus, $b = \mu_{j_1} \ldots \mu_{j_k}$, $g_{j_1 \ldots j_k} = constant \neq 0$ and $g_{i_1 \ldots i_k} = 0$ if $i_1 < \cdots < i_k \neq j_1 < \cdots < j_k$. In this case the distribution is induced by a holomorphic $k-$form of the type $\omega = dz_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{j_k}$

2) $m = 1$

Note that $m - s \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow s = 0$ or $s = 1$, so $g_{i_1 \ldots i_k} = 0$ if $i_1, i_2 \leq r$.

If $i_1 \leq r$ and $i_2, \dots\ i_k \geq r+1$ we have

$$g_{i_1 \dots i_k} = c^{i_1 \dots i_k} z_{r+1}^{m_{r+1}} \dots z_n^{m_n} z_{i_2}^{-1} \dots z_{i_k}^{-1}$$

where $c^{i_1 \dots i_k}$ is a constant.

If $i_1, \dots\ i_k \geq r+1$ we have

$$g_{i_1 \dots i_k} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} c_\alpha^{i_1 \dots i_k} z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_r^{\alpha_r} z_{r+1}^{m_{r+1}} \dots z_n^{m_n} z_{i_1}^{-1} \dots z_{i_k}^{-1}$$

with $|\alpha| = 1$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r)$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r$.

2.1) $m = 1$, $r = n-1$.

Since $k \geq 2$, in this case there is distribution only if $k = 2$.
If $i_1 \leq r = n-1$ and $n = i_2 \geq r = n-1+1$ then

$g_{i_1 n} = c^{i_1 n} z_n^{m_n - 1}$, where $c^{i_1 n}$ is a constant, and $g_{i_1 i_2} = 0$ in all other cases.

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is nonsingular, we have $m_n = 1$, $b = \mu\mu_n$, and the distribution is induced by $k-$form of the type $\sum_{i_1}^{n} c^{i_1 n} dz_{i_1} \wedge dz_n$.

2.2) $m = 1$, $r = n-2$.

In this case, there is ditribution only if $k = 2$ or $k = 3$.

- $k = 3$.
  If $i_1 \leq n-2 = r$ and $i_2 = n-1, i_3 = n \geq r+1 = n-1$ then
  $$g_{i_1 n-1 n} = c^{i_1 n-1 n} z_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}} z_n^{m_n} z_{n-1}^{-1} z_n^{-1}$$
  where $c^{i_1 n-1 n}$ is a constant, and $g_{i_1 i_2 i_3} = 0$ in all other cases. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is nonsingular, $m_{n-1} = m_n = 1$, $b = \mu\mu_{n-1}\mu_n$, and the distribution is induced by a $3-$form of the type $\omega = \sum_{i_1=1}^{n-2} c^{i_1 n-1 n} dz_{i_1} \wedge dz_{n-1} \wedge dz_n$.
- $k = 2$.
  If $i_1 \leq n-2$ and $i_2 \geq r+1 = n-1$, then
  $$g_{i_1 i_2} = c^{i_1 i_2} z_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}} z_n^{m_n} z_{i_2}^{-1}$$
  If $i_1 = n-1, i_2 = n \geq r+1 = n-1$ then
  $$g_{n-1 n} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n-2}} c_\alpha^{n-1 n} z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_{n-2}^{\alpha_{n-2}} z_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}} z_n^{m_n} z_{n-1}^{-1} z_n^{-1}$$
  with $|\alpha| = 1$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{n-2})$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{n-2}$.
  We have three possibilities:
  a) $m_{n-1} = m_n = 1$. In this case, $g_{i_1 n-1} = c^{i_1 n-1} z_n$, $g_{i_1 n} = c^{i_1 n} z_{n-1}$ if $i_1 \leq n-2$, and
  $$g_{n-1 n} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n-2}} c_\alpha^{n-1 n} z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_{n-2}^{\alpha_{n-2}}$$
  with $|\alpha| = 1$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{n-2})$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{n-2}$.

b) $m_{n-1}=1, m_n=0$. In this case, $g_{i_1 n-1}=$ *constant* if $i_1 \leq n-2$ and $g_{i_1 i_2}=0$ in all other cases.
c) $m_{n-1}=0, m_n=1$. In this case, $g_{i_1 n}=$ *constant* if $i_1 \leq n-2$ and $g_{i_1 i_2}=0$ in all other cases.

2.3) $m=1$, $2 \leq r=n-t \leq n-3$.
If $i_1 \leq r=n-t$ and $i_2, \dots\ i_k \geq r+1=n-t+1$ we have

$$g_{i_1 \dots i_k}=c^{i_1 \dots i_k} z_{r+1}^{m_{r+1}} \dots z_n^{m_n} z_{i_2}^{-1} \dots z_{i_k}^{-1}$$

where $c^{i_1 \dots i_k}$ is a constant.
If $i_1, \dots\ i_k \geq r+1=n-t+1$ we have

$$g_{i_1 \dots i_k}=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} c_\alpha^{i_1 \dots i_k} z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_r^{\alpha_r} z_{r+1}^{m_{r+1}} \dots z_n^{m_n} z_{i_1}^{-1} \dots z_{i_k}^{-1}$$

with $|\alpha|=1$, where $\alpha=(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r)$ and $|\alpha|=\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_r$.
Note that there is distribution only if $k \leq t+1$.

a) $k=t+1$.
If $i_1 \leq r=n-k+1$ and $i_2, \dots, i_k \geq r+1=n-k+2$ then $i_2=n-k+2, \dots, i_k=n$ and

$$g_{i_1, n-k+2, \dots, n}=c^{i_1, n-k+2, \dots, n} z_{n-k+2}^{m_{n-k+2}} \dots z_n^{m_n} z_{n-k+2}^{-1} \dots z_n^{-1}$$

where $c^{i_1, n-k+2, \dots, n}$ is a constant, and $g_{i_1 \dots i_k}=0$ in all other cases.

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is nonsingular, $m_{n-k+2}=\dots=m_n=1$, $b=\mu\mu_{n-k+2} \dots \mu_n$ and the distribution is induced by a constant $k-$form of the type $\omega=\sum c^{i_1} dz_{i_1} \wedge dz_{n-k+2} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n$, where $c^{i_1} \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant.

- $k=t$

If $i_1 \leq r=n-k$ and $i_2, \dots, i_k \geq r+1=n-k+1$ we have

$g_{i_1 \dots i_k}=c^{i_1 \dots i_k} z_{n-k+1}^{m_{n-k+1}} \dots z_n^{m_n} z_{i_2}^{-1} \dots z_{i_k}^{-1}$ where $c^{i_1 \dots i_k}$ is a constant.

If $i_1, \dots, i_k \geq r+1=n-k+1$ we have $i_1=n-k+1, \dots, i_k=n$ and

$g_{i_1 \dots i_k}=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} c_\alpha^{i_1 \dots i_k} z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_r^{\alpha_r} z_{n-k+1}^{m_{n-k+1}} \dots z_n^{m_n} z_{n-k+1}^{-1} \dots z_n^{-1}$ with $|\alpha|=1$, where $\alpha=(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r)$ and $|\alpha|=\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_r$. We will separate into cases:

i) $m_{n-k+1}=\dots=m_n=1$
In this case we have
If $i_1 \leq r=n-k$ and $i_2, \dots, i_k \geq r+1=n-k+1$ we have

$g_{i_1 \dots i_k}=c^l z_l$ where $\{l\}=\{n-k+1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_2, \dots i_k\}$ and c^l is a constant.

If $i_1, \dots, i_k \geq r+1=n-k+1$ we have $i_1=n-k+1, \dots, i_k=n$ and

$g_{i_1 \dots i_k}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} c^i z_i$, where c^i is constant for all i.

In this case, then we have linear distribution.

ii) If $m_i = 1$ for all $n-k+1 \leq i \leq n, i \neq n-k+l$ and $m_{n-k+l} = 0$ we have

$g_{i_1 n-k+1 \ldots n-k+l-1, n-k+l+1 \ldots i_n} = constant$ if $i_1 \leq n-k$, and $g_{i_1 \ldots i_k} = 0$ in all other cases.
Then $b = \mu\mu_{n-k+1} \ldots \mu_{n-k+l-1}\mu_{n-k+l+1} \ldots \mu_n$, and the distribuition is induced by a $k-$form of the type
$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} c^i dz_i \wedge dz_{n-k+1} \wedge \ldots dz_{n-k+l-1} \wedge dz_{n-k+l+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n$, where c^i is constant for all i.

- $k < t$
In this case, there are $m_{j_1} = \ldots m_{j_{k-1}} = 1$, and $m_j = 0$ for all $j \geq r+1, j \neq j_s$, for all $s = 1, \ldots k-1$, $b = \mu\mu_{j_1} \ldots mu_{j_{k-1}}$ and the distribution is induced by a $k-$form of the type
$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n-t} c^i dz_i \wedge dz_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{j_{k-1}}$, where c^i is constant for all i.

Then the case $m = 1$ is finished. It is easy see that if $k < m$ then there is no distribuition.

3) $k = m > 1$
Recall that: If $i_1, \ldots, i_s \leq r$ and $i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_k \geq r+1$, where $s \leq k$, we have $g_{i_1 \ldots i_k} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} c_\alpha^{i_1 \ldots i_k} z_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots z_r^{\alpha_r} z_{r+1}^{m_{r+1}} \ldots z_n^{m_n} z_{i_{s+1}}^{-1} \ldots z_{i_k}^{-1}$ with $|\alpha| = m - s$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r)$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r$.

Suppose that $r < n-1$. In this case, since $\mathcal{F}$ is nonsingular $m_l = 0$ for all $l \geq r+1$, $b = \mu^m$ and the distribution is induced by a $k-$form of the type $\omega = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq r} c^{i_1 \ldots i_k} dz_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{i_k}$.
Now, if $r = n-1$ then:
If $i_1, \ldots, i_k \leq r = n-1$
$g_{i_1 \ldots i_k} = c^{i_1 \ldots i_k} z_n^{m_n}$ where $c^{i_1 \ldots i_k}$ is a constant.
If $i_1, \ldots, i_{k-1} \leq r = n-1$, $i_k = n$
$g_{i_1 \ldots i_k} = z_n^{m_n - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c^i z_i$, where c^i is a constant for all i.
If $m_n = 0$, then $g_{i_1 \ldots i_k} = constant$ for $i_1, \ldots, i_k \leq r = n-1$, and $g_{i_1 \ldots i_k} = 0$ in all other cases. Then the distribution is induced by a $k-$form of the type $\omega = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq r} c^{i_1 \ldots i_k} dz_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{i_k}$.
If $m_n = 1$, then $g_{i_1 \ldots i_k} = c^{i_1 \ldots i_k} z_n$ for $i_1, \ldots, i_k \leq r = n-1$, and for $i_1, \ldots, i_{k-1} \leq r = n-1$, $i_k = n$ then $g_{i_1 \ldots i_k} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c^i z_i$, where c^i is a constant for all i.Then the distribution is induced by a linear $k-$form.

4) $2 \leq m \leq k-1$
Suppose $m = k - \lambda$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq \lambda \leq k-2$
Since $|\alpha| = m - s = k - \lambda - s$ then $|\alpha| \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow s \leq k - \lambda$. Hence $g_{i_1 \ldots i_k} = 0$ if

$i_1,\dots,i_s \leq r$ and $s > k-\lambda$.
If $i_1,\dots,i_{k-\lambda-\gamma} \leq r$, $i_{k-\lambda-\gamma+1},\dots,i_k > r$, where $0 \leq \gamma \leq m$ then

$g_{i_1\dots i_k} = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^r} c_\alpha^{i_1\dots i_k} z_1^{\alpha_1}\dots z_r^{\alpha_r} z_{r+1}^{m_{r+1}}\dots z_n^{m_n} z_{i_{k-\lambda-\gamma+1}}^{-1}\dots z_{i_k}^{-1}$ with $|\alpha| = \gamma$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1,...,\alpha_r)$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r$.
We will to consider $r = n-t$ where $1 \leq t \leq n-2$ into separate cases .

4.1) $t = 1$
In this case, $i_{k-\lambda-\gamma+1},\dots,i_k > r = n-1 \Leftrightarrow \lambda = 1, \gamma = 0 \Rightarrow k = m+1$. Thus

$g_{i_1\dots i_k} = c^{i_1\dots i_k} z_n^{m_n-1}$ if $i_1,\dots,i_{k-1} \leq r = n-1$, $n = i_k > r = n-1$ and $g_{i_1\dots i_k} = 0$ in all other cases. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is nonsingular, we have $m_n = 1$, $b = \mu^m\mu_n$, and the distribution is induced by a $k-$form of the type
$\omega = \sum_{i_1<\cdots<i_{k-1}\leq n-1} c^{i_1,\dots,i_{k-1}} dz_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_{i_{k-1}}\wedge dz_n$, where $c^{i_1,\dots,i_{k-1}}$ is a constant..
4.2)$t = 2$
It is clear see that, in this case, does not have distribution if $\lambda \geq 3$.
If $\lambda = 2$ we have $\gamma = 0$, thus for $i_1,\dots,i_{k-2} \leq n-2$, $i_{k-1} = n-1, i_k = n > n-2$ we have $g_{i_1\dots i_k} = c^{i_1\dots i_{k-2}} z_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}-1} z_n^{m_n-1}$, where $c^{i_1\dots i_{k-2}}$ is a constant, and $g_{i_1\dots i_k} = 0$ in all other cases. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is nonsingular, we have $m_{n-1} = m_n = 1$, $b = \mu^m\mu_{n-1}\mu_n$, the distribution is induced by a $k-$form of the type
$\omega = \sum_{i_1<\cdots<i_{k-2}\leq n-2} c^{i_1,\dots,i_{k-2}} dz_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_{i_{k-2}}\wedge dz_{n-1}\wedge dz_n$, where $c^{i_1,\dots,i_{k-2}}$ is a constant.

If $\lambda = 1$ we get $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, thus for $i_1,\dots,i_{k-1} \leq r = n-2$, $i_k > r = n-2$ we have $g_{i_1\dots i_k} = c^{i_1\dots i_{k-1}} z_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}} z_n^{m_n} z_{i_k}^{-1}$ and for $i_1,\dots,i_{k-2} \leq r = n-2$, $i_{k-1} = n-1$, $i_k = n > r = n-2$ we have $g_{i_1\dots i_k} = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^r} c_\alpha^{i_1\dots i_k} z_1^{\alpha_1}\dots z_r^{\alpha_r} z_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}-1} z_n^{m_n-1}$, with $|\alpha| = 1$, and $g_{i_1\dots i_k} = 0$ in all other cases.

- If $m_{n-1} = m_n = 1$. In this case for $i_1,\dots,i_{k-1} \leq r = n-2$, $i_k = n-1 > r = n-2$ we have $g_{i_1\dots i_{k-1}n-1} = c^{i_1\dots i_{k-1}} z_n$, for $i_1,\dots,i_{k-1} \leq r = n-2$, $i_k = n > r = n-2$ we have $g_{i_1\dots i_{k-1}n} = c^{i_1\dots i_{k-1}} z_{n-1}$, and for $i_1,\dots,i_{k-2} \leq r = n-2$, $i_{k-1} = n-1$, $i_k = n > r = n-2$ we have $g_{i_1\dots i_{k-2}n-1,n} = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^r} c_\alpha^{i_1\dots i_k} z_1^{\alpha_1}\dots z_r^{\alpha_r}$, with $|\alpha| = 1$. Thus the distribution is linear.
- If $m_{n-1} = 1$, $m_n = 0$, then $g_{i_1\dots i_{k-1}n-1} = constant$ if $i_1,\dots i_{k-1} \leq n-2$ and $g_{i_1\dots i_k} = 0$ in all other cases.
  So the distribution is induced by a $k-$form of the type
  $\omega = \sum_{i_1<\cdots<i_{k-1}\leq n-2} c^{i_1,\dots,i_{k-1}} dz_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_{i_{k-1}}\wedge dz_{n-1}$, where $c^{i_1,\dots,i_{k-1}}$ is a constant.
- If $m_{n-1} = 0$, $m_n = 1$, then $g_{i_1\dots i_{k-1}n} = constant$ if $i_1,\dots i_{k-1} \leq n-2$ and $g_{i_1\dots i_k} = 0$ in all other cases.
  So the distribution is induced by a $k-$form of the type
  $\omega = \sum_{i_1<\cdots<i_{k-1}\leq n-2} c^{i_1,\dots,i_{k-1}} dz_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_{i_{k-1}}\wedge dz_n$, where $c^{i_1,\dots,i_{k-1}}$ is a constant.

4.3) $r = n - t$, $2 < t < n - 1$.

Recall that $m = k - \lambda$ where $1 \leq \lambda \leq k - 2$, and that $g_{i_1 \dots i_k} = 0$ if $i_1, \dots, i_s \leq r = n - t$ and $s > k - \lambda$.
It is clear see that if $\lambda > t$ there is no distribution.

i) Suppose $\lambda = t$, so for $i_1, \dots, i_{k-\lambda} \leq n - t$, $i_{k-\lambda+1} = n - t + 1, \dots, i_k = n > n - t$ we have
$g_{i_1 \dots i_{k-\lambda}, n-t+1 \dots n} = c^{i_1 \dots i_{k-\lambda}, n-t+1 \dots n} z_{n-t+1}^{m_{n-t+1}-1} \dots z_n^{m_n - 1}$, and $g_{i_1 \dots i_k} = 0$ in all other cases. Since the distribution is nosingular we have $m_{n-t+1} = \dots = m_n = 1$, $b = \mu^m \mu_{n-t+1} \dots \mu_n$, $g_{i_1 \dots i_{k-\lambda}, n-t+1 \dots n} = \textit{constant}$ for $i_1, \dots, i_{k-\lambda} \leq n - t$, the distribution is induced by a $k-$form of the type

$$\omega = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_{k-\lambda} \leq n-t} c^{i_1 \dots i_{k-\lambda}, n-t+1 \dots n} dz_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{i_{k-\lambda}} \wedge dz_{n-t+1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n$$

, where $c^{i_1 \dots i_{k-\lambda}}, n - t + 1 \dots n$ is a constant.

ii) Suppose now that $\lambda = t - a$, where $a \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq a \leq t - 1$. In this case, we get
For each $0 \leq \gamma \leq min\{a, m\}$, if $i_1, \dots \ i_{k-\lambda-\gamma} \leq r$, $i_{k-\lambda-\gamma+1}, \dots, i_k > r$ we have $g_{i_1 \dots i_k} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} c_\alpha^{i_1 \dots i_k} z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_r^{\alpha_r} z_{r+1}^{m_{r+1}} \dots z_n^{m_n} z_{i_{k-\lambda-\gamma+1}}^{-1} \dots z_{i_k}^{-1}$ with $|\alpha| = \gamma$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r)$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r$, and $g_{i_1 \dots i_k} = 0$ in all other cases.

a) $\lambda \leq t - 2$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is nonsingular then there are $j_1, \dots, j_\lambda > r$ such that $m_{j_1} = \dots = m_{j_\lambda} = 1, m_i = 0$ if $i > r, i \neq j_l \forall l = 1, \dots, \lambda$, $g_{i_1 \dots i_{k-\lambda} j_1 \dots j_\lambda} = \textit{constant}$ for $i_1, \dots \ i_{k-\lambda} \leq r$ and $g_{i_1 \dots i_k} = 0$ in all others cases. Thus the distribution is induced by a $k-$form of the type

$$\omega = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_{k-\lambda} \leq r} c^{i_1 \dots i_{k-\lambda}, j_1 \dots j_\lambda} dz_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{i_{k-\lambda}} \wedge dz_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{j_\lambda} \ ,$$

where $c^{i_1 \dots i_{k-\lambda} j_1 \dots j_\lambda}$ is a constant.

b) $\lambda = t - 1$, then $a = 1$ and $min\{a, m\} = 1$.

For $i_1, \dots \ i_{k-\lambda} \leq r$, $i_{k-\lambda+1}, \dots, i_k > r$ we have
$g_{i_1 \dots i_k} = c^{i_1 \dots i_k} z_{r+1}^{m_{r+1}} \dots z_n^{m_n} z_{i_{k-\lambda+1}}^{-1} \dots z_{i_k}^{-1}$,

For $i_1, \dots \ i_{k-\lambda-1} \leq r$, $i_{k-\lambda}, \dots, i_k > r$ we have
$g_{i_1 \dots i_k} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} c_\alpha^{i_1 \dots i_k} z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_r^{\alpha_r} z_{r+1}^{m_{r+1}-1} \dots z_n^{m_n - 1}$ with $|\alpha| = 1$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r)$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r$.

i) $m_{r+1} = \dots = m_n = 1$. In this case, for $i_1, \dots \ i_{k-\lambda} \leq r$, $\{i_{k-\lambda+1}, \dots, i_k\} = \{r + 1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{l\}$ we have

$$g_{i_1 \dots i_k} = c^{i_1 \dots i_k} z_l,$$

where $c^{i_1 \dots i_k}$ is a constant.
For $i_1, \dots \ i_{k-\lambda-1} \leq r$, $\{i_{k-\lambda}, \dots, i_k\} = \{r + 1, \dots, n\}$ we have

$$g_{i_1 \dots i_k} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} c_\alpha^{i_1 \dots i_k} z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_r^{\alpha_r}$$

with $|\alpha| = 1$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r)$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r$.
Thus the distribution is linear.

ii) $m_h = 0$ for some $h \geq r+1$, and $m_j = 1 \, \forall j \geq r+1, j \neq h$. In this case for $i_1, \dots \ i_{k-\lambda} \leq r$, $\{i_{k-\lambda+1}, \dots, i_k\} = \{r+1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{h\}$ we have $g_{i_1 \dots i_k} = constant$, and $g_{i_1 \dots i_k} = 0$ in all others cases. Thus the distribution is induced by a $k-$form of the type

$$\omega = \sum c^{i_1 \dots i_k} dz_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{i_k},$$

where $c^{i_1 \dots i_k}$ is a constant, $i_1, \dots \ i_{k-\lambda} \leq r$ and $\{i_{k-\lambda+1}, \dots, i_k\} = \{r+1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{h\}$.

□

4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Recall the Theorem 1.3

Theorem 1.3 *All holomorphic distribution of codimension k (possibly singular) on a generic Hopf manifold of dimension at least three are induced by a monomial k-form.*

Proof. In fact, follows directly from proof of Theorem 1.2 equation (4) that the distribution is induced by a monomial $k-$form of the type

$$\sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_k} g_{i_1 \dots i_k} dz_{i_1 \dots i_k}$$

where $g_{i_1 \dots i_k}$ is a monomial .

□

Acknowlegments. The author is grateful to Maurício Corrêa and Arturo Fernández Pérez by suggest the theme and also by the interesting conversations.

References

[1] M. Corrêa, A. Fernández-Pérez, A. M. Ferreira: *Classification of holomorphic foliations on Hopf manifolds.* Math. Ann. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (2015). DOI 10.1007/s00208-015-1267-z

[2] K. Dabrowski: *Moduli Spaces for Hopf Surfaces.* Math. Ann. 259 (1982) 201-225.

[3] A. M. Ferreira: *Classificação de folheações holomorfas em variedades de Hopf.* Ph.D. thesis. Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais. Belo Horizonte (2014), 60p . Available online at http://www.mat.ufmg.br/site/.

[4] E. Ghys: *Feuilletages holomorphes de codimension un sur les espaces homogenes complexes.* Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) 5 (1996), no. 3, 493-519.

[5] A. Haefliger: *Deformations of transversely holomorphic flows on spheres and deformations of Hopf manifolds.*Compositio Mathematica 55 (1985), 241-251.

[6] H. Hopf: *Zur Topologie der komplexen Mannigfaltigkeiten, Courant Birthday Volume, pp. 167-185, New York 1948.*

[7] M. Ise: *On the geometry of Hopf manifolds.* Osaka Math. J. 12 (1960), 387-402.

[8] D. Mall: *The cohomology of line bundles on hopf manifolds.* Osaka J Math. 28 (1991), 999-1015.

[9] K. Kodaira: *On the Structure of Compact Complex Analytic Surfaces, II. American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 88, No. 3 (Jul., 1966), pp. 682-721.*
[10] D. Mall: *On holomorphic and transversely homomorphic foliations on Hopf surfaces.* J. reine angew. Math 501 (1998), 41-69.
[11] J. Wehler: *Versal deformation of Hopf surfaces.* J. reine angew. Math.328, 1981, 22-32.

Antonio Marcos Ferreira da Silva, Departamento de Ciências Exatas, Universidade Federal de Lavras, 37200 000 Lavras MG, Brazil
E-mail address: antoniosilva@dex.ufla.br